arXiv:1409.7052v1 [cond-mat.mtrl-sci] 24 Sep 2014

Theory and experiments on the ice-water front propagation in droplets freezing on a subzero surface.

Michael Nauenberg*

Department of Physics, University of California , Santa Cruz, CA 95064

(Dated: September 25, 2014)

Abstract

An approximate theory is presented describing the propagation of the ice-water front that develops in droplets of water that are deposited on a planar surface at a temperature below the melting point of ice. A calculation based on this theory is compared with our experimental observations of the time evolution of this front. The results of calculations of this front by Schultz *et al*[7], obtained by integrating numerically the exact differential equations for this problem, were published graphically, but only for the time-dependent velocity of this front. Unfortunately, these theoretical results cannot be compared directly with our experimental observations. Our experiments were performed by freezing water droplets directly on a block of dry ice, and in order to examine the effects of the heat conductivity of a substrate during the freezing process, such droplets were also deposited on a glass plate and on a copper plate placed on dry ice. The temperature at the base of these droplets, and the dependence of the freezing time on their size was also investigated experimentally, and compared with our analytic approximation of the theory. Such experiment have not been published previously, and reveal that the usual assumption that the temperature at the base of the droplets is a constant, made in all previous theoretical papers on this subject, cannot be implemented in practice.

I. INTRODUCTION

Recently, there has been some renewed interest in the properties of water droplets that are frozen on a planar surface at subzero temperatures[1–4,6,7,9]. A theoretical analysis, and numerical solutions of the heat diffusion differential equations for the propagation of the ice-water front in spherical droplets have been carried out by W.W. Schultz, M. G. Worster, and D.M. Anderson[7], under the assumption that the temperature at the base of the droplet in direct contact with this surface is a constant, but up to the present time a comparison of the theory with observations of this propagation have not been reported. I present here the results of such observations with droplets deposited on a block of dry ice, and on glass and copper plates placed on this block. It turns out that the heat conductivity of these plates is very important in establishing the temperature at the base of the droplet, and the rate of freezing. To make the ice-water boundary easily observable, a drop of food coloring was added to a glass of tap water used in the experiment. While it is dark blue in the liquid phase, it becomes green in the frozen phase, see Fig.1. The time-dependent height h of this boundary, ending in a fully frozen droplet, Fig.2, was recorded with a video camera, and the results are presented graphically in Sec. II, Fig.6, where h is plotted as a function of the time t. A curve fitting the experimental data on this plot, is based on an approximate theory of this freezing process discussed in Section I.



FIG. 1. Droplet freezing on a glass plate placed on a block of dry ice. The ice-water front is at the boundary between the dark blue and the light blue regions for the water and the ice phase, respectively.



FIG. 2. Droplet shown in Fig. 1 after it is completely frozen.

When the liquid phase is at the melting temperature of ice, the theory for the propagation of a planar ice-water front was given a long time ago by Joseph Stefan[8]. Neglecting the heat capacity of ice, the height of the front as a function of time is $h(t) = \sqrt{c(t)t}$, where $c(t) = 2k_i\overline{T}(t)/L$, k_i is the heat conductivity of ice, L is the latent heat (per unit volume), and $\overline{T}(t)$ is the average magnitude, during an interval of time t, of the temperature (in degree Celsius) below melting at the base of the droplet, $\overline{T}(t) = (1/t)\int_0^t dtT(t)$. For example, the

data shown in Fig.6 can be approximately fitted, for $t \leq 100$ seconds, with a constant value for $c \approx .003\ cm^2/sec$, corresponding to $T \approx -21^o C$. The magnitude of this temperature appears to be surprisingly small because the temperature of dry ice is -78.5^o C, but due to the transfer of latent heat during the freezing process, it is expected that the droplet heats the dry ice surface underneath it. The effect of this heating can be observed, for example, in the appearance of a small cavity in the dry ice after the fully frozen droplet is removed. In Section II, direct measurements of the temperature at the base of several droplets are presented that verify this heating process, and its dependence on time. For $t \geq 100$ seconds, the height of the ice-water front increases more rapidly as a function of time than expected from Stefan's relation. This increase in the velocity can be understood, because the approximation that the ice-water front is nearly flat, required by the application of Stefan's relation, ceases to be valid, and this front becomes rapidly concave. This change in shape has been observed experimentally[4,5,9], and it is also found in the numerical calculations of Schultz et al[7]. Under the assumption that the shape of this front can be approximated by a spherical cap of constant curvature that is normal to the surface of the droplet, the top of the the droplet takes the shape of a cone[7,9]. A novel feature in my theoretical approach, discussed in Section I, is to treat analytically the propagation of such a spherical ice-water front by an extension of Stefan's relation for a planar front. In Section II a calculation based on this approach is compared with an experimental observation of the front propagation in a droplet deposited on dry ice. In section III, I present some time dependent measurements of the temperature at the base of droplets of various sizes on dry ice, and also on a glass plate and on copper plate. Some of my conclusions are summarized in Section IV.

I. ANALYTIC APPROXIMATION FOR THE PROPAGATION OF THE ICE-WATER FRONT.

In this section, I present an analytic calculation of the ice-water front propagation in a hemispherical droplet of water placed on a planar surface at a temperature below the melting point of ice. This calculation is based on the approximation that the propagation of this front can be split into two stages: a) the front is planar until it reaches a critical height when the shape of the droplet evolves into a cone due to the expansion of the volume of water when it freezes into ice, and b) afterwards, the front takes the shape of a spherical



FIG. 3. Propagation of a hemispherical ice-water front, indicated by the circular dashed line with radius $r(t)$, centered at the apex of a cone with opening angle θ. In the final stages of freezing, this cone becomes the shape near the top of the frozen water drop with initial radius ρ.

.

cap centered at the apex of this cone[7,9], illustrated in Fig. 3. For simplicity we assume that the temperature T_i at the base of the droplet is constant, but the theory can be readily generalized to account for the experimentally observed time dependence of T_i presented in section III.

Provided that the liquid phase of the droplet remains at the melting temperature T_m, the heat flux generated by the latent heat that emerges during freezing is conducted primarily by the ice phase of the droplet. Then the propagation velocity v of a planar ice-water front moving along the positive z axis is determined by the energy conservation relation[8]

$$vL = k_i \frac{\partial T}{\partial z}, \tag{1}$$

where T is the temperature at the front, L is the latent heat per unit volume, and k_i is the

heat conductivity of ice. Neglecting the heat capacity of ice, $\partial^2 T/\partial z^2 = 0$, and T depends linearly on z, with $T = T_i$ at $z = 0$, where the drop is in contact with a subzero heat reservoir, and $T = T_m$ at the propagating ice-water front located at $z = z(t)$. Hence

$$T = T_i + (T_m - T_i)\frac{z}{z(t)}, \tag{2}$$

and Eq.1 becomes an equation of motion for the propagation of the ice-water front,

$$\frac{dz(t)}{dt} = \frac{k_i(T_m - T_i)}{Lz(t)}. \tag{3}$$

Setting $z'(t) = z(t)/\rho$, where ρ is a length scale that we take to be the radius of the drop, and $t' = t/t_1$, where $t_1 = L\rho^2/2k_i(T_m - T_i)$ is a time scale, one obtains Stefan's relation in the dimensionless form

$$t' = z'^2. \tag{4}$$

The main approximation now is to assume that this relation holds until $z = z_o$, where z_o is the location of the ice-water front when it attains the shape of a spherical cap. This cap is centered at the cusp of a cone with opening angle θ, and side length $r_o = \rho cos\theta/sin\theta$, and $z_o = \rho sin\theta - r_o(1 - cos\theta) = \rho sin\theta/(1 + cos\theta)$ (see Fig. 3). The angle θ is obtained by the requirement that upon freezing, the remaining volume of water expands into this cone and into the spherical cap[7,9]. The ratio $\nu = .917$ of ice to water density determines $\theta \approx 65^o$, and corresponds to $z_0 \approx .64$. Assuming that for $z \geq z_o$ the ice-water front has the shape of a hemisphere centered at the cusp of the cone,

$$v_r L = -k_i \frac{\partial T}{\partial r}, \tag{5}$$

where the origin of the radial distance r is at the apex of the cone of length r_o, the radial velocity of the ice-water front is $v_r = dr(t)/dt$, and the temperature T satisfies the spherically symmetric Laplace equation

$$\frac{\partial^2 T}{\partial r^2} + \frac{2}{r}\frac{\partial T}{\partial r} = 0. \tag{6}$$

Hence $T = \alpha/r + \beta$, where α and β are time dependent variables. I determine these variables by the condition that at $r = r(t)$, $T = T_m$, while at $r = r_o$, $T = T_o$, where according to Eq.2, when $z(t) = z_o + r_0 - r(t)$,

$$T_o = T_i + \frac{(Tm - Ti)z_o}{z_o + r_o - r(t)}. \tag{7}$$

Hence $\alpha = (T_m - T_o)r(t)r_o/(r_0 - r(t))$, and the gradient of T at the spherically shaped ice-water front at $r = r(t)$ is

$$\frac{\partial T}{\partial r} = -\frac{(T_m - T_i)r_o}{(z_o + r_o - r(t))r(t)}. \tag{8}$$

As a bonus, one finds that when $r(t) = r_o$ the linear and the spherical gradient of the temperature T are the same. Therefore, at this cross-over, the velocity of the front is continuous. Setting $x = r(t)/r_o$, and $t'' = t/t_2$, where $t_2 = r_o^2 L/2k_i(T_m - T_i)$ is the relevant time scale for the propagation of the spherical ice-water front,

$$\frac{dx}{dt''} = -\frac{1}{2(\eta + 1 - x)x}, \tag{9}$$

where $\eta = z_o/r_o = 1/cos\theta - 1$. Integrating this equation of motion,

$$t''(x) = t''(1) + (\eta + 1/3) - x^2(\eta + 1 - 2x/3), \tag{10}$$

where $t''(1) = (t_1/t_2)sin^2\theta/(1 + cos\theta)^2$, and $t_1/t_2 = \rho^2/r_0^2 = sin^2\theta/cos^2\theta$. When $x = 0$, the ice-water front has reached the apex of the cone, and the freezing is completed, with the elapsed scaled time

$$t'_f = \frac{1}{1 + cos\theta} + \frac{cos^2\theta}{3sin^2\theta}. \tag{11}$$

For $\theta = 65^0$, $t'_f = .775$, Fig.4 shows the time dependence of the height $z(t)$ of the ice-water front in scaled variables, and Fig.5 shows the velocity $v(t)$ of this front. The transition from a planar to a spherical ice-water front occurs at about half the total freezing time $t_d = z_0^2 \approx .4$. The position and velocity of the front are continuous at this point, but in our approximation that at this time the ice-water front changes discontinuously from a planar to a spherical front, this effect can be seen as a small discontinuity in the acceleration of this front .

When the temperature at the base of the droplet is not a constant, but depends on the freezing time t, which in practice is the case, as shown in Section III , the scaling factors t_1 and t_2 become function of the time. Then $t_1(t) = L\rho^2/2k_i(T_m - \overline{T_i(t)})$, and $t_2(t) = Lr_o^2/2k_i(T_m - \overline{T_i(t)})$, where $\overline{T_i(t)} = (1/t)\int_0^t dt' T_i(t')$ is the mean temperature at t for $0 \leq t \leq t_o$, and $\overline{T_i(t)} = (1/t)\int_{t_o}^t dt' T_i(t')$ is the mean temperature for $t_o \leq t$.



FIG. 4. Height of the ice-water front vs. time in scaled variables, for a drop of water freezing on a surface held at a constant temperature below the melting temperature of ice.



FIG. 5. Velocity of the ice-water front vs. time in scaled variables, for a drop of water freezing on a surface held at a constant temperature below the melting temperature of ice.

II. EXPERIMENTAL RESULTS AND COMPARISON WITH THE THEORY

The propagation of the ice-water front was observed in droplets of water deposited on a glass surface placed on a block of dry ice. An example is shown in Figs.1 and 2, and the height of the ice-water front, recorded with a video camera (Cannon Vixia HFS200), is plotted as a function of the time in Fig.6. The curve shown on this plot is a fit to this data based on the theory discussed in the previous section. The main source of errors occur in the determination of the height of the ice-water front at a given time. As can be be seen in Fig 1, this front , revealed by the change of colors between the ice and water phases in the droplet, is not completely sharp. But Fig.1, which also shows in the margin the scale in millimeters, indicates that the error is only a fraction of a millimeter.

The bulk temperature of the dry ice is -78.5 degrees Celsius, but in order to conduct the latent heat produced during the freezing process, the temperature at the contact between the base of the drop and the glass plate must be higher. Direct evidence for this heating was observed by depositing the drop directly on the surface of the dry ice. After the freezing process was completed, removal of the frozen drop left a small hole due to the heating of the dry ice under the base of the drop. In the next section, it will be shown that this temperature is not a constant as has been assumed in all the theoretical work up to the present time, but for large size droplets its value can be approximated by an average temperature during the freezing process. For example, in the fit of the analytic approximation discussed in Section I to the experimental data in Fig.6, the only unknown parameter is the mean value of the temperature, given by $T_i = -.39\rho^2 L/k_i t_f$. For the effective radius of the droplet, $\rho \approx .7$cm., and the observed time to complete the freezing process, $t_f = 120$ seconds, $T_i = -22^o$C. Unfortunately, this data cannot be compared also with the numerical calculations of Schultz et al.[7], because their time dependent results were given only for the velocity of the ice-water front.



FIG. 6. The squares represented the observed height of the ice-water front vs. time for the water drop shown in Fig.1. The theoretical curve, shown for scaled variables in Fig.4, fits the experimental data for $T_i \approx -25^o$ C.

III. TEMPERATURE MEASUREMENTS

During the freezing process, the temperature was measured at the base of several droplets of different sizes deposited on a block of dry ice, Fig.7, and on a copper plate .1 cm. thick, placed on this block, Fig.8. These measurements were made with a thermistor .2 cm. in diameter. The main source of errors are due to this finite size of the thermistor, and to the heat generated by the current flowing through it. After the freezing process was completed, I removed the droplet from the substrate, and observed that the thermistor remained located at its base. In an extremely short time, that could not be determined in this experiment, after these droplets were deposited on these subzero surfaces, the temperature increased very rapidly to higher values that dependent on the size of the droplet, and afterwards it decreased at a rate that was slower with increasing size of the droplet. For the smallest droplet (.1 cm in radius) deposited on dry ice, the decrease in temperature was very sharp, but for the larger drops the assumption of a nearly constant temperature during the freezing process made in the numerical calculations of Schultz *et al.*[7], and in my analytical calculations in Section I, should be applicable. The inflection that appears at longer times in the temperature vs. time curve, Fig.7, occurred when the freezing of the drop was nearly completed, and the release of latent energy had ended. But as expected, the temperature of the frozen drop continues to drop until it came into thermal equilibrium with the dry ice. A similar behavior was observed with droplets on the copper plate, Fig.8, but in this case the rate of freezing was approximately 10 times faster. In this case, there appeared also some unexpected jumps in the temperature as function of time at the end of the freezing process that remain unexplained .



FIG. 7. The temperature at the base of droplets of water of different sizes deposited on a block of dry ice, as a function of time: $\rho = .23$ (crosses), $\rho = .5$ (squares) and $\rho = .63$ (hexagons)



FIG. 8. The temperature at the base of two droplets of water of different sizes deposited on a copper plate placed on block of dry ice, as a function of time: $\rho = .4$ (crosses), $\rho = .8$ (hexagons)

The time t_f to complete the freezing of droplets of different sizes deposited on dry ice, and on copper and glass plates placed on dry ice, is shown in the tables below. According to the theoretical analysis, if the temperature T_i at the base of the drop were constant, the ratio ρ^2/t_f should be a constant. Although the observed temperature varies during the freezing process, this constancy is approximately valid in the examples given in the tables below. According to the numerical calculations of Schultz *et al.*[7], $T_i = -(.12L/k_i)(\rho^2/t_f)$, where L is the latent heat and k_i is the heat conductivity of ice. For droplets freezing on the copper plate, $\rho^2/t_f = .015$, and taking $L = 333J/cm^3$, and $k_i = .024J/cm\ sK$, $T_i = -25^o$C. This value of T_i is considerably higher than the mean value (between -45^oC and -50^o C) of the time dependent temperature during the freezing process shown in Fig.8, while my analytic approximation gives $T_i = -80.5^o$ C, which is too low. For drops freezing directly on dry ice, $\rho^2/t_f \approx .002$, and the calculation of Schultz *et al.* gives $T_i = -3^oC$. which also is much higher than the mean value of the experimentally observed temperature in Fig.7, while my analytic approximation yields $T_i = -10.4^o$C.

Another problem with the comparisons of theory and experiment is that only the smallest size droplets - with a radius $\rho \approx .1$ cm. - have a spherical shape, while larger droplets are flattened by the effect of gravity. This effect can be seen in the droplet shown in Figs. 1, 2. For these droplets, I have taken for the value of ρ one half of the diameter measured at the base of the droplet.

I. Freezing on dry ice		
ρ(cm)	t_f(sec)	ρ^2/t_f
.23	30	.0018
.37	72	.0019
.50	120	.0021
.63	250	.0016

II. Freezing on copper plate		
ρ(cm)	t_f(sec)	ρ^2/t_f
.37	9	.015
.5	17	.015
.58	23	.015
.66	28	.016

III. Freezing on glass plate		
ρ(cm)	t_f(sec)	ρ^2/t_f
.25	29	.0022
.4	48	.0033
.5	81	.0037
.5	101	.0030
.58	145	.0027

IV. CONCLUSIONS

I have shown that the main features of the time dependent propagation of the ice-water front of water droplets deposited on a surface at subzero temperatures can be understood by Stefan's relation for planar surfaces, together with an extension of this relation when this front becomes concave. Previous calculations of Schultz *et al.*[7], based on the numerical integration of the heat diffusion differential equations for this propagation, assume that the temperature at the base of these droplets is a constant, while my analytic approximation can also be applied to time varying temperatures. My measurements, presented in Section III, indicate that during the freezing process this temperature is not constant, and for small drops, $\rho \approx .1$ cm., it varies rapidly. The assumption that the theory can be applied by taking the mean value of the temperature leads to some of the observed results, e.g. the approximate constancy of the ratio ρ^2/t_f for a range of values of drop sizes and freezing times, shown in Section III, but some of these results are in disagreement with the direct measurements of the magnitude of this temperature.

ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

I would like to thank Craig Bohren and Joshua Deutsch for helpful comments about the application of Stefan's relation, Dave Belanger for instructions on temperature measurements with a thermistor, and M.G. Worster for his timely suggestion to freeze water droplets on

copper plates at subzero temperature.

---

* michael@physics.ucsc.edu

[1] D.M. Anderson, M.G. Worster, and S.H. Davis, "The case for a dynamic contact angle in containerless solidification," Journal of Chrystal Growth **163** 329-338 (1996).

[2] J.H. Snoeijer and P. Brunet, "Pointy ice drops: How water freezes into a singular shape," Am. J. Phys. **80**, 764 (2012).

[3] O.R. Enríquez, A.G. Marín, K. G. Winkels, and J.H. Snoeijer, "Freezing singularities in water drops," Phys. Fluids **24** 09112 (2012).

[4] M. Nauenberg, "Comment on 'Pointy ice drops: How water freezes into a singular shape,'" Am.J. Phys.**81**, 150 (2013).

[5] J.H. Snoeijer and P. Brunet, "Response to Comment on Pointy ice drops: How water freezes into a singular shape," Am. J. Phys. **81** 151 (2013).

[6] M. Nauenberg, " Conical tip in frozen water drops", arXiv: 1404.4425 v1 [physics.flu-dyn] 17 April 2014

[7] W.W. Schultz, M.G. Worster, D.M. Anderson, " Solidifying Sessile Water Droplets", in *Interactive Dynamics of Convection and Solidification*, edited by P. Ehrhard, D.S. Riley and P.H. Steen (Kluwer, Academic Publishers 2001) pp. 209-226.

[8] J. Stefan, " Über die Theorie der Eisbildung insbesonder über die Eisbildung im Polarmeere", S.-B Wien, Akad. Mat. Natur . **98** (1889), 965-983. For a modern presentation of Stefan's theory, and a large bibliography on this subject, see L.I. Rubenstein, *The Stefan Problem*, vol. **27** Translations of Mathematical Monographs, American Mathematical Society (1971)

[9] A.G. Marin, O.R. Enriquez, P. Brunet, P.Colinet and J. H. Snoeijer, "Universality of Tip Singularity Formation in Freezing Water Drops", Physical Rev. Letters **113**, 054301 (2014)